

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	TATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/635,074	08/06/2003	Ibolya Bartik-Himmler	H 3583 A	7216	
75	590 11/14/2005		EXAMI	EXAMINER	
Glenn E. J. Murphy			ZHENG,	ZHENG, LOIS L	
Henkel Corpora Law Departmen			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
2500 Renaissance Blvd., Suite 200			1742	1742	
Gulph Mills, P.	A 19406		DATE MAILED: 11/14/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				H			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Action Summany	10/635,074	BARTIK-HIMMLER ET AL.				
•	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Lois Zheng	1742				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANSIONS of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from 1, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status	÷						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ar</u>	uaust 2003.					
·		action is non-final.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4) 🖂	Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.						
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.	•					
8)[]	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accompany	epted or b) objected to by the I	Examiner.				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the						
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		•	I).			
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior	rity documents have been receive	ed in this National Stage				
	application from the International Bureau						
* (See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies not receive	d.				
	•						
A44							
Attachmen	et(s) or of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO 443)				
2) 🔲 Notic	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ate				
	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date <u>6 August 2003</u> .	5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Status of Claims

1. Claims 1-21 are currently under examination.

Double Patenting

2. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

3. Claims 1-21 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-11 of U.S. Patent No. 6,627,006 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 1-11 of US 6,627,006 B1 teaches a phosphate coating treatment line process that is controlled by establishing correlations between process variables and constants defining the outcome, measuring process constants during treatment, and automatically altering an process variables or process correlations in order to keep the outcome constant within the desirable range. Due to the above teaching, the scope of the independent claim 1 of US 6,627,006 B1 significantly overlaps the independent claims 1 and 12 of the instant inventions. In

Art Unit: 1742

addition, the claimed altering of variable parameters that are most closely related to the deviating outcome constant would have been obvious to one of skilled in the art in order to maintained desired coating properties such as coating weight, corrosion inhibiting and adhesion properties. Furthermore, the scope of claims 2-11 of US 6,627,006 B1 is the same as claims 2-11 and 13-21 of the instant invention.

Therefore, the claims 1-11 of US 6,627,006 B1 teaches substantially the same automatically controlled phosphating process as that of the instant invention.

Conclusion

4. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

GB 2,153,854 A teaches automatic controlling of Zn ions during a phosphate conversion coating process by automatically adding replenishing Zn ions when Zn ion concentration fall below the desired range.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lois Zheng whose telephone number is (571) 272-1248. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on (571) 272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/635,074

Art Unit: 1742

Page 4

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ROY KING '

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNGLOGY CENTER 1700

LLZ